



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/016,575

12/17/2001

Aamer Ahmad Sarfraz

**VE-0002

3269

23377 7590 11/27/2009
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891

EXAMINER

GRAHAM, CLEMENT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3696

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/016,575	Applicant(s) SARFRAZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Clement B. Graham	Art Unit 3696	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/9/09.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 31-48 and 52-56 is/are pending in the application.

 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 31-48 and 52-56 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 31-48, 52-56, remained pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 31-48, 52-56, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer et al (Hereinafter Kramer US Patent NO: 6, 324,525) in view of Shkedy US Patent 6, 236, 972.

As per claim 31, Kramer discloses a method electronic receipts to a remote device comprising:

receiving, at the server, a request, from a remote device, for a specific electronic receipt associated with a specific receipt card account number from accessing, at the server, one of the receipts, from a database of electronic receipts, that is associated with the specific receipt card account number(see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45) wherein the database is coupled to the server, and wherein each receipt is associated with, a receipt card account number, and a receipt card that identifies an electronic address of the database and information that identifies the receipt card account number, wherein the receipt card account number associated with a receipt card account is not a payment account, and wherein each receipt includes a list of items purchased during a financial transaction, and unique transaction identification information for each transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach and transmitting, from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements, order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include transmitting from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 32, Kramer discloses wherein said request for said specific electronic receipt is from a computer system located at a retailer who participated in a transaction associated with said specific electronic receipt (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 33, Kramer discloses wherein said receiving request for said specific electronic receipt is from a customer's computer system (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 31, Kramer discloses wherein said unique transaction identification information further comprises:

the identity of the retailer who participated in said transaction, a transaction number, a gross amount, a sales tax, a date of said transaction, and a time of said transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 35, Kramer discloses further comprising:
receiving, at the server, a request for a plurality of receipts associated with the specific receipt card account number (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 37, Kramer discloses further comprising:
receiving, at the server, a request for a transaction history associated with a receipt card account number; and sending, at the server, the requested transaction history to a computer system located at a retailer (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 38, Kramer discloses a computer readable storage medium including computer executable instructions that, when executed by a server, cause the server to provide electronic receipts by a method comprising:

receiving a request, from a remote device, for a specific electronic receipt associated with a specific receipt card account number and accessing one of the receipts, from a database of electronic receipts, that is associated with the specific receipt card account number, wherein the database is coupled to the server, and wherein each receipt is associated with (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45) a receipt card account number, and a receipt card that identifies an electronic address of the database and information that identifies the receipt card account number, wherein the receipt card account number associated with a receipt card account is not a payment account, and wherein each receipt includes a list of items purchased during a financial transaction, and unique transaction identification information for each transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45) (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach and transmitting from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements, order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment

aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include transmitting from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 39, Kramer discloses wherein said request for said specific electronic receipt is from a computer system located at a retailer who participated in a transaction associated with said specific electronic receipt (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 40, Kramer wherein said request for said specific electronic receipt is from a customer's computer system (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 41, Kramer discloses wherein said unique transaction identification information further comprises:
the identity of the retailer who participated in said transaction, a transaction number, a gross amount, a sales tax, a date of said transaction, and a time of said transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 42, Kramer further comprising:
receiving a request for a plurality of receipts associated with the specific receipt card account number (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 44, Kramer discloses further comprising:
receiving a request for a transaction history associated with a receipt card account number and sending, at the server, the requested transaction history to a customer's computer system (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 45, Kramer discloses a system for providing electronic receipts to a remote device comprising:
a processor; and computing memory communicatively coupled to the processor, the computing

memory having stored therein computer executable instructions, when executed, said computer executable instructions adapted to perform the following, receiving a request, from a remote device, for a specific electronic receipt associated with a specific receipt card account number from and accessing one of the receipts, from a database of electronic receipts, that is associated with the specific receipt card account number, wherein the database is coupled to the server, and wherein each receipt is associated with: a receipt card account number, and a receipt card that identifies an electronic address of the database and information that identifies the receipt card account number~ wherein the receipt card account number associated with a receipt card account is not a payment account, and wherein each receipt includes a list of items purchased during a financial transaction, and unique transaction identification information for each transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach and transmitting, from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements, order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include transmitting, from the server to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 46, Kramer discloses wherein said request for said specific electronic receipt is from a computer system located at a retailer who participated in a transaction associated with said specific electronic receipt (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 47, Kramer discloses wherein said request for said specific electronic receipt is from a customer's computer system (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 48, Kramer discloses wherein said unique transaction identification information further comprises:
the identity of the retailer who participated in said transaction, a transaction number, a gross amount, a sales tax, a date of said transaction, and a time of said transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 52, Kramer discloses a receipt card method, the method comprising:
generating point of sale data for a transaction, wherein the point of sale data identifies an item and a purchase price for the item, receiving a receipt card on which is imprinted an account number that identifies an electronic address of a receipt card server and identifies a receipt card account number, receiving a form of payment for the transaction, wherein the form of payment is one of cash and credit, wherein the receipt card is not a form of payment; generating unique transaction identification information; generating an electronic receipt that identifies the point of sale data, the receipt card account number, the unique transaction identification information, and the form of payment and transmitting the electronic receipt to the electronic address of the receipt card server (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach and transmitting the electronic receipt to the electronic address of the receipt card server.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements, order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include and transmitting the electronic receipt to the electronic address of the receipt card server in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 53, Kramer discloses wherein generating unique transaction identification information further comprises generating unique transaction identification information including information selected from a group of information consisting of an identity of the retailer, a transaction number, a gross amount, a sales tax amount, the data, and the time (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

As per claim 54, Kramer discloses Means for providing electronic receipts to a remote device comprising the steps of:

means for receiving a request, from a remote device, for a specific electronic receipt associated with a specific receipt card account number;

means for accessing one of the receipts, from a database of electronic receipts, that is associated with the specific receipt card account number, wherein the database is coupled to the server, and wherein each receipt is associated with:

a receipt card account number, and a receipt card that identifies an electronic address of the database and information that identifies the receipt card account number (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45) wherein the receipt card account number associated with a receipt card account is not a payment account, and wherein each receipt includes a list of items purchased during a financial transaction, and unique transaction identification information for each transaction (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach and means for transmitting, to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office

services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements, order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include and means for transmitting, to said remote device, information indicative of said specific electronic receipt in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 55, Kramer discloses a method of storing transaction information, comprises: associating a receipt card with a user and a receipt card identification number, wherein the receipt card identification number is associated with a receipt card account(see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45) wherein the receipt card have a magnetic strip encoded with information indicative of the receipt card identification number, wherein the receipt card account is associated with a plurality of electronic receipts, wherein a receipt card account associated with the first receipt card account number is not a payment account (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45), wherein the transaction details include a unique transaction identification, a list of items purchased and the receipt card identification number, storing, at a database, the electronic receipts in association with the receipt card account, wherein each receipt stored in the database is associated with unique transaction identification for each transaction and an electronic address of the database (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Kramer fail to explicitly teach receiving, at a server, a electronic receipt including transaction details made by the user during a transaction.

However Shkedy discloses an example of such a system is the CertAuthority Solution manufactured by CertCo LLC. This system also comes with an optional temper evident hardware based private key that is easy to transport and store securely. An example of a settlement server is the Integrated Commerce Service manufactured by Open Market Inc. It provides back-office services necessary to run Web-based businesses. Services include on-line account statements,

order-taking and credit card payment authorization, credit card settlement, automated sales tax calculations, digital receipt generation, account-based purchase tracking, and payment aggregation for low-priced services (see column 16 lines 45-56 and column 4 lines 15-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kramer to include receiving, at a server a electronic receipt including transaction details made by the user during a transaction in order to match a transaction with a receipt.

As per claim 56, Kramer discloses 56. (Previously Presented) The method accordingly to claim 55, wherein the receipt card comprises a plastic housing having a front face whereon the receipt card identification number is embedded and a rear face whereon the receipt card identification number is encoded in a magnetic strip (see column 103 lines 7-10 and lines 32-48 and column 88 lines 24-28 and column 92 lines 49-53 and column 102 lines 31-45).

Conclusion

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

4. Applicant's argument filed 9/9/09 has been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-6795. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Kalinowski/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3691

CG

Nov 16, 2009